

Contact person:  
Richard W.J. Schiferli  
General Secretary Paris MoU  
on Port State Control  
Tel. +31 (0)70 456 1509  
Fax +31 (0)70 456 1599  
email: [Richard.Schiferli@parismou.org](mailto:Richard.Schiferli@parismou.org)

PO Box 90653  
2509 LR The Hague  
The Netherlands



---

# Press release

23 June 2010

PARIS MOU ANNOUNCED NEW TARGETING LISTS.

**At its 43<sup>rd</sup> meeting last month, the Paris MoU Committee approved the 2009 inspection results and adopted new performance lists for flag States and Recognized Organizations. These lists will take effect from 1 July 2010.**

The “Black List” for 2010 contains 23 flag States, two more than last year. The 2010 “White List” includes 39 flag States, two less than last year. A “hard core” of flag States remain on the “Black List”. Most of the flags that were considered “very high risk” in 2009 have retained this ranking. The poorest performing flags are Democratic People’s Republic of Korea, Libya, Togo, Bolivia, Albania and Sierra Leone.

Flags with an average performance are shown on the “Grey List”. Their appearance on this list may act as an incentive to improve and move to the “White List”. At the same time flags at the lower end of the “Grey List” should be careful not to neglect control over their ships and risk ending up on the “Black List” next year.

Panama can be congratulated for its efforts, which have resulted in moving from the Black to the Grey List.

Poland and Croatia moved up from “Grey” to “White”. Vanuatu and Switzerland moved down from “White” to “Grey”.

The “White List” represents quality flags with a consistently low detention record. Bermuda (UK), China, and Denmark are placed highest in terms of performance.

A flag’s ranking is taken into account when targeting ships for inspection and ships flying flags listed on the “Black list” are liable for banning from the region after multiple detentions.

The Paris MoU also agreed on the performance listing of Recognized Organizations (ROs). These organizations have been delegated with statutory

responsibilities by flag States. This table uses the same method of calculation as the flag State table but counts only those detentions, which the Paris MoU considers to be directly related to a statutory survey carried out by the recognized organization.

Registro Italiano Navale, American Bureau of Shipping and Germanischer Lloyd are the highest performing ROs.

Register of Shipping (Albania), Bulgarski Koraben Registar and International Register of Shipping (USA) have shown very low performance.

More information can be found in the 2009 Annual Report, which will be released in July 2010.

---

Notes to editors:

Port State Control is a check on visiting foreign ships to see that they comply with international rules on safety, pollution prevention and seafarers living and working conditions. It is a means of enforcing compliance where the owner and flag State have failed in their responsibility to implement or ensure compliance. The port State can require defects to be put right, and detain the ship for this purpose if necessary. It is therefore also a port State's defence against visiting substandard shipping.

Regional Port State Control was initiated in 1982 when fourteen European countries agreed to co-ordinate their port State inspection effort under a voluntary agreement known as the Paris Memorandum of Understanding on Port State Control (Paris MoU). Current membership includes 27 countries. The European Commission, although not a signatory to the Paris MoU, is also a member of the Committee.

Inspection reports are recorded on a central database SIRENaC located in St Malo - France, available for search and daily updating by MoU member countries. Inspection results can be viewed on the Paris MoU public website and are also provided to the Equasis public database.

The Secretariat of the MoU is provided by the Netherlands Ministry of Transport, Public works and Water Management and located in The Hague.

For more information on the Paris MoU on Port State Control please consult our Internet Website on the following address: [www.parismou.org](http://www.parismou.org)

## Black –Grey –White lists

### Black List 2007-2009

Flag	Inspections 2007-2009	Detentions 2007-2009	Black to Grey Limit	Grey to white limit	Excess factor
<b>Black list</b>					
Korea, DPR	103	35	12	Very High Risk	7.45
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	41	15	6		6.78
Togo	73	20	9		5.05
Bolivia	40	12	6		4.88
Albania	245	56	24		4.86
Sierra Leone	517	110	46		4.79
Comoros	617	113	54	High Risk	3.94
Cambodia	875	146	74		3.55
Moldova, Republic of	236	44	23		3.55
Georgia	832	129	71	Medium to High Risk	3.17
St Kitts and Nevis	444	69	40		2.94
Syrian Arab Republic	264	41	26		2.68
Viet Nam	31	7	5		2.52
Slovakia	286	41	28	Medium Risk	2.37
Lebanon	77	13	10		2.17
Mongolia	58	10	8		1.97
Dominica	165	22	17		1.77
St Vincent and the Grenadines	2,173	219	172		1.68
Egypt	134	18	15		1.67
Ukraine	541	56	48		1.44
Jamaica	56	8	8		1.20
Belize	633	58	55		1.13
Honduras	60	8	8		1.02

## Grey List 2007-2009

Flag	Inspections 2007-2009	Detentions 2007-2009	Black to Grey Limit	Grey to White Limit	Excess Factor
<b>Grey list</b>					
Panama	8,333	619	622	544	0.96
Algeria	108	12	12	3	0.96
Azerbaijan	104	11	12	2	0.89
Cook Islands	125	12	14	4	0.81
Tuvalu	33	4	5	0	0.79
Antilles, Netherlands	670	48	58	36	0.55
Saudi Arabia	58	4	8	0	0.49
Tunisia	55	3	7	0	0.38
Bulgaria	275	17	27	12	0.35
Iran, Islamic Republic of	174	10	18	6	0.32
Malaysia	83	4	10	1	0.29
Morocco	148	8	16	5	0.29
Korea, Republic of	221	12	22	9	0.24
Faroe Islands	127	6	14	4	0.22
United States of America	138	6	15	4	0.16
Latvia	157	7	17	5	0.15
Switzerland	90	3	11	2	0.13
Thailand	160	6	17	5	0.05
Vanuatu	164	6	17	6	0.03

## White List 2007-2009

Flag	Inspections 2007-2009	Detentions 2007-2009	Black to Grey Limit	Grey to White Limit	Excess Factor
White list					
Croatia	189	7	20	7	0.00
Qatar	32	0	5	0	0.00
Japan	76	1	9	1	-0.11
Turkey	2,182	115	173	133	-0.29
Philippines	204	6	21	8	-0.38
Poland	204	6	21	8	-0.38
Cayman Islands, UK	319	11	30	14	-0.43
Spain	270	8	26	12	-0.54
Barbados	507	18	45	26	-0.58
Russian Federation	2,266	100	179	138	-0.60
India	156	3	17	5	-0.63
Lithuania	241	6	24	10	-0.67
Portugal	527	17	47	27	-0.72
Estonia	141	2	15	4	-0.78
Malta	5,252	219	399	337	-0.78
Antigua and Barbuda	5,033	177	383	322	-1.01
Liberia	4,247	147	325	269	-1.01
Cyprus	2,735	88	214	169	-1.05
Belgium	213	3	22	8	-1.08
Gibraltar, UK	1,167	31	97	67	-1.14
Norway	2,500	65	196	154	-1.27
Marshall Islands	2,063	52	164	125	-1.28
Luxembourg	165	1	17	6	-1.33
Bahamas	3,685	92	284	232	-1.35
Hong Kong, China	1,284	26	105	74	-1.41
Singapore	1,253	25	103	72	-1.41
Greece	1,556	32	126	92	-1.42
Sweden	1,042	16	87	59	-1.57
Man, Isle of, UK	922	13	78	51	-1.60
Italy	1,403	20	114	82	-1.65
Netherlands	3,409	56	264	214	-1.66
Ireland	164	0	17	6	-1.67
Germany	1,320	17	108	77	-1.70
Finland	630	6	55	33	-1.71
United Kingdom	1,991	26	159	120	-1.74
France	367	2	34	17	-1.75
Denmark	1,347	14	110	78	-1.80
China	219	0	22	9	-1.83
Bermuda, UK	267	0	26	11	-1.91

## Recognized Organization performance table (2007 – 2009)

Recognized organization*		Inspections	Detentions	Low /Medium Limit	Medium/High Limit	Excess Factor	Performance level
Register of Shipping (Albania)	RSA	238	18	9	1	4.02	<b>Very low</b>
International Register of Shipping (USA)	IS	1,467	71	39	20	2.97	
Bulgarski Koraben Registar	BKR	355	20	12	2	2.82	<b>Low</b>
Universal Shipping Bureau	USB	161	8	7	0	1.60	
Panama Maritime Documentation Services	PMDS	179	7	7	0	0.98	<b>Medium</b>
Shipping Register of Ukraine	SRU	664	19	20	7	0.94	
Korea Classification Society (Korea, DPR)	KCS	62	3	4	0	0.88	
Isthmus Bureau of Shipping (Greece)	IBS	412	9	13	3	0.57	
Panama Register Corporation	PRC	188	3	7	0	0.40	
China Corporation Register of Shipping	CCRS	85	1	4	0	0.37	
International Naval Surveys Bureau (Greece)	INSB	1,053	17	29	13	0.25	
RINAVE Portuguesa	RP	73	0	4	0	0.20	
Hellenic Register of Shipping (Greece)	HRS	866	13	25	10	0.20	
Croatian Register of Shipping	CRS	299	3	10	1	0.17	
Indian Register of Shipping	IRS	183	1	7	0	0.13	
INCLAMAR (Cyprus)	INC	203	1	8	0	0.10	
Polski Rejestr Statkow	PRS	996	5	28	12	-0.91	
Korean Register of Shipping (Korea, Rep. of)	KCS	800	3	23	9	-0.98	
China Classification Society	CCS	982	3	27	12	-1.25	
Russian Maritime Register of Shipping	RMRS	7,518	43	171	130	-1.29	
Nippon KaijiKyokai	NKK	6,783	26	155	116	-1.51	
Lloyd's Register (UK)	LR	15,423	50	338	279	-1.62	
Turkish Lloyd	TL	1,598	3	42	22	-1.63	
Bureau Veritas (France)	BV	13,219	38	291	237	-1.66	
Det Norske Veritas	DNCV	13,739	24	302	247	-1.79	
Germanischer Lloyd	GL	16,215	29	354	294	-1.79	
American Bureau of Shipping (USA)	ABS	5,837	7	135	99	-1.84	
Registro Italiano Navale	RINA	3,240	2	78	51	-1.89	

In this table only Recognized Organizations that had more than 60 inspections are taken into account. The formula used is identical to the one used for the Black Grey and White list. However, the values for P and Q are adjusted to P=0.02 and Q=0.01

\*Where a country is shown after a Recognized Organization this indicates its location and not necessarily any connection with the maritime administration of that country.